

## Triggering Questions for RCA<sup>2</sup>

Triggering Questions help RCA<sup>2</sup> teams consider important areas of inquiry. Answer each question as “yes,” “no,” or “not applicable” (N/A). For any questions to which the answer is “no,” form a plan to investigate why not by interviewing staff and/or reviewing documentation (e.g., regulatory requirements, guidelines, publications, and/or codes and standards). Use the worksheet below to track your progress.

### Communication

1. Was the patient correctly identified?    YES    NO    N/A
2. Was information from various patient assessments shared and used by members of the treatment team on a timely basis?    YES    NO    N/A
3. Did existing documentation provide a clear picture of the work-up, the treatment plan, and the patient's response to treatment? (e.g., Assessments, consultations, orders, progress notes, medication administration record, x-ray, labs, etc.)    YES    NO    N/A
4. Was communication between management/supervisors and front line staff adequate? (i.e., Accurate, complete, unambiguous, using standard vocabulary and no jargon)  
YES    NO    N/A
5. Was communication between front line team members adequate?    YES    NO    N/A
6. Were policies and procedures communicated adequately?    YES    NO    N/A
7. Was the correct technical information adequately communicated 24 hours/day to the people who needed it?    YES    NO    N/A
8. Were there methods for monitoring the adequacy of staff communications? (e.g., Read back, repeat back, confirmation messages, debriefs)    YES    NO    N/A
9. Was the communication of potential risk factors free from obstacles?    YES    NO    N/A
10. Was there a manufacturer's recall/alert/bulletin issued on the medication, equipment, or product involved with the event or close call? If yes, were relevant staff members made aware of this recall/alert/bulletin, and were the specified corrective actions implemented?    YES    NO    N/A
11. Were the patient and their family/significant others actively included in the assessment and treatment planning?    YES    NO    N/A
12. Did management establish adequate methods to provide information to employees who needed it in a timely manner that was easy to access and use?    YES    NO    N/A
13. Did the overall culture of the department/work area encourage or welcome observations, suggestions, or “early warnings” from staff about risky situations and risk reduction?  
YES    NO    N/A

•If this has happened before, consider: What was done to prevent it from happening again?

14. Did adequate communication across organizational boundaries occur? YES NO N/A

### Training

15. Was there an assessment done to identify what staff training was actually needed?

YES NO N/A

16. Was training provided prior to the start of the work process? YES NO N/A

17. Were the results of training monitored over time? YES NO N/A

18. Was the training adequate? YES NO N/A

- Consider: supervisory responsibility, procedure omission, flawed training/policy/procedure.

19. Were training programs for staff designed upfront with the intent of helping staff perform their tasks without errors? YES NO N/A

20. Were all staff trained in the use of relevant barriers and controls? YES NO N/A

### Fatigue/Scheduling

21. Were the levels of vibration, noise, or other environmental conditions appropriate?

YES NO N/A

22. Were environmental stressors properly anticipated? YES NO N/A

23. Did personnel have adequate sleep? YES NO N/A

24. Was fatigue properly anticipated? YES NO N/A

25. Was the environment free of distractions? YES NO N/A

26. Was there sufficient staff on-hand for the workload at the time? (i.e., Workload too high, too low, or wrong mix of staff.) YES NO N/A

27. Was the level of automation appropriate? (i.e., Neither too much nor not enough.)

YES NO N/A

### Environment/Equipment

28. Was the work area/environment designed to support the function it was being used for?

YES NO N/A

29. Had there been an environmental risk assessment (i.e., safety audit) of the area?

YES NO N/A

30. Were the work environment stress levels (either physical or psychological) appropriate? (e.g., Temperature, space, noise, intra-facility transfers, construction projects) YES NO N/A

31. Had appropriate safety evaluations and disaster drills been conducted? YES NO N/A

32. Did the work area/environment meet current codes, specifications, and regulations?

YES NO N/A

33. Was the equipment designed to properly accomplish its intended purpose? YES NO N/A
34. Did the equipment work smoothly in the context of: staff needs and experience; existing procedures, requirements, and workload; and physical space and location? YES NO N/A
35. Did the equipment involved meet current codes, specifications, and regulations?  
YES NO N/A
36. Was there a documented safety review performed on the equipment involved? (If relevant, were recommendations for service/recall/maintenance, etc., completed in a timely manner?)  
YES NO N/A
37. Was there a maintenance program in place to maintain the equipment involved?  
YES NO N/A
38. If there was a maintenance program, did the most recent previous inspections indicate that the equipment was working properly? YES NO N/A
39. If previous inspections pointed to equipment problems, were corrective actions implemented effective? YES NO N/A
40. Had equipment and procedures been reviewed to ensure that there was a good match between people and the equipment they used or people and the tasks they did? YES NO N/A
41. Were adequate time and resources allowed for physical plant and equipment upgrades, if problems were identified? YES NO N/A
42. Was there adequate equipment to perform the work processes? YES NO N/A
43. Were emergency provisions and back-up systems available in case of equipment failure?  
YES NO N/A
44. Had this type of equipment worked correctly and been used appropriately in the past?  
YES NO N/A
45. Was the equipment designed such that usage mistakes would be unlikely to happen?  
YES NO N/A
46. Was the design specification adhered to? YES NO N/A
47. Was the equipment produced to specifications and operated in a manner that the design was intended to satisfy? YES NO N/A
48. Were personnel trained appropriately to operate the equipment involved in the adverse event/close call? YES NO N/A
49. Did the design of the equipment enable detection of problems and make them obvious to the operator in a timely manner? YES NO N/A
50. Was the equipment designed so that corrective actions could be accomplished in a manner that minimized/eliminated any undesirable outcome? YES NO N/A

51. Were equipment displays and controls working properly and interpreted correctly and were equipment settings including alarms appropriate? YES NO N/A
52. Was the medical equipment or device intended to be reused (i.e., not reuse of a single use device)? YES NO N/A
53. Was the medical equipment or device used in accordance with its design and manufacturer's instructions? YES NO N/A

### Rules/Policies/Procedures

54. Was there an overall management plan for addressing risk and assigning responsibility for risk? YES NO N/A
55. Did management have an audit or quality control system to inform them how key processes related to the adverse event were functioning? YES NO N/A
56. Had a previous investigation been done for a similar event, were the causes identified, and were effective interventions developed and implemented on a timely basis? YES NO N/A
57. Would this problem have gone unidentified or uncorrected after an audit or review of the work process/equipment/area? YES NO N/A
58. Was required care for the patient within the scope of the facility's mission, staff expertise and availability, and technical and support service resources? YES NO N/A
59. Was the staff involved in the adverse event or close call properly qualified and trained to perform their function/duties? YES NO N/A
60. Did the equipment involved meet current codes, specifications, and regulations? YES NO N/A
61. Were all staff involved oriented to the job, department, and facility policies regarding: safety, security, hazardous material management, emergency preparedness, life safety management, medical equipment, and utilities management? YES NO N/A
62. Were there written up-to-date policies and procedures that addressed the work processes related to the adverse event or close call? YES NO N/A
63. Were these policies/procedures consistent with relevant state and national guidance, regulatory agency requirements, and/or recommendations from professional societies/organizations? YES NO N/A
64. Were relevant policies/procedures clear, understandable, and readily available to all staff? YES NO N/A

65. Were the relevant policies and procedures actually used on a day-to-day basis?

YES NO N/A

• If the policies and procedures were not used, consider: What got in the way of their usefulness to staff? What positive and negative incentives were absent?

## Barriers

Barriers protect people and property from adverse events and can be physical or procedural. Negative/positive pressure rooms are an example of a physical barrier that controls the spread of bacteria/viruses. The pin indexing system used on medical gas cylinders is another example of a physical barrier that prevents gas cylinders being misconnected. The “surgical time out” is an example of a procedural barrier that protects patients from wrong site, wrong patient, wrong procedure surgeries.

Before completing this section, consider: What barriers and controls were involved in this adverse event or close call? Were these barriers designed to protect patients, staff, equipment, or the environment?

66. Was patient risk considered when designing these barriers and controls? YES NO N/A

67. Were these barriers and controls in place before the adverse event or close call occurred?

YES NO N/A

68. Had these barriers and controls been evaluated for reliability? YES NO N/A

69. Were there other barriers and controls for work processes? YES NO N/A

70. Was the concept of “fault tolerance” applied in the system design? (A fault tolerant system can withstand the failure of one or more barriers without the patient being harmed.)

YES NO N/A

71. Were relevant barriers and controls maintained and checked on a routine basis by designated staff? YES NO N/A

QUESTIONS TO WHICH THE ANSWER IS “NO”	ANSWER TO: “WHY NOT?”

Developed by Department of Veterans Affairs National Center for Patient Safety